

### Stage 1 Equality Impact Assessment – Initial Screening

<b>Assessor(s) Name(s):</b>	Denise Scott (Programme Officer – Design) Dave Marsh (Team Leader – Design)
<b>Directorate:</b>	Economy and Environment
<b>Date of Completion:</b>	14 <sup>th</sup> May 2012

#### Name of Policy/Strategy/Service/Function Proposal

Integrated Transport Capital Programme 2012/2013.  
High Street, Carisbrooke – Footway Widening.

#### The Aims, Objectives and Expected Outcomes:

To improve pedestrian safety and accessibility by widening the footpath in High Street, Carisbrooke, on the south side from a point west of the current pedestrian crossing facility to a point adjacent to No 35 High Street, Carisbrooke.

Carisbrooke High Street forms part of the main traffic route from Newport to the West Wight; traffic flows are high, as is the level of pedestrian activity, particularly at peak times.

In recent times, residents and parents have made continued representation about their concerns for pedestrian safety, particularly at the current signal controlled pedestrian facility. The primary concern relates to the inadequate footway width adjacent to the crossing and the poor compliance of the signals when drivers fail to stop on a red light.

The objective of the scheme is address the current deficiencies on-site, upgrade facilities such that they comply with current design standards and to widen the footway, increasing capacity for pedestrians waiting to use the crossing.

Please delete as appropriate:

- This is a new strategy proposal

<b>Key Questions to Consider in Assessing Potential Impact</b>	
Will the policy, strategy, service or council function proposal have a negative impact on any of the protected characteristics or other reasons that are relevant issues for the local community and/or staff?	No
Has previous consultation identified this issue as important or highlighted negative impact and/or we have created a "legitimate expectation" for consultation to take place? A legitimate expectation may be created when we have consulted on similar issues in the past or if we have ever given an indication that we would consult in such situations	No
Do different groups of people within the local community have different needs or experiences in the area this issue relates to?	Yes
Could the aims of these proposals be in conflict with the council's general duty to pay due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not?	No
Will the proposal have a significant effect on how services or a council function/s is/are delivered?	No
Will the proposal have a significant effect on how other organisations operate?	No
Does the proposal involve a significant commitment of resources?	No
Does the proposal relate to an area where there are known inequalities?	No
<p>If you answer <b>Yes</b> to any of these questions, it will be necessary for you to proceed to a full Equality Impact Assessment after you have completed the rest of this initial screening form.</p> <p>If you answer <b>No</b> to all of these questions, please provide appropriate evidence using the table below and complete the evidence considerations box and obtain sign off from your Head of Service.</p>	


Protected Characteristics	Positive	Negative	No impact	Reasons
Age	X			The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for the elderly and younger people, in particular families with children in prams and pushchairs, as it will increase capacity for pedestrians waiting to use the crossing.
Disability	X			The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for the mobility impaired as it will increase capacity for pedestrians waiting to use the crossing.
Gender Reassignment			X	The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for everyone irrespective of their gender reassignment status.
Marriage & Civil Partnership			X	The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for everyone irrespective of their marriage or civil partnership status.
Pregnancy & Maternity	X			The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for young families as it will increase capacity for pedestrians waiting to use the crossing.
Race			X	The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for everyone irrespective of their race or ethnic/cultural background.
Religion / Belief			X	The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for everyone irrespective of their religion or belief.
Sex (male / female)			X	The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for everyone irrespective of their gender.

Sexual Orientation			X	The widening of the footpath will improve accessibility and road safety for everyone irrespective of their sexual orientation.
--------------------	--	--	---	--

<b>Are there aspects of the proposal that contribute to or improve the opportunity for equality?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
The council is meeting its public sector equality duty as required by the Equality Act 2010 to have due regard to eliminate discrimination, promote equality and foster good relations by widening the footpath as identified in the reasons of the impact assessment above.	

<b>Evidence Considered During Screening</b>
<p>In January 2012, the Isle of Wight Council consulted with Newport Parish Council and the local councillor (John Hobart) and support was received in writing via email. It is also minuted in the Newport Parish Council Planning and Licensing Committee held on 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2012.</p> <p>Further to the support being received, the scheme was then included in the Integrated Transport project, which was approved on 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2012 under delegated decision powers, by the Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Waste as part of the Highways and Transport Capital Programme 2012 – 2013.</p> <p>The scheme has been designed in line with the Department for Transport's Inclusive Mobility guidance and Guidance on the use of Tactile Paving Surfaces, which are intended to help service providers fulfil their statutory obligations under The Equality Act 2010.</p> <p>The 1988 Road Traffic Act requires Local Highway Authorities to prepare and carry out a programme of measures designed to promote road safety. In addition to deliver this scheme to the standards set out by the Government, we are mindful of the need to adhere to the following relevant legislation which has been considered in forming the proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Traffic Management Act 2004</li> <li>➤ Transport Act 2000</li> <li>➤ The Zebra, Pelican and Puffin Pedestrian Crossings Regulations and General Directions 1997</li> <li>➤ Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2002</li> <li>➤ Crime and Disorder Act 1998</li> <li>➤ Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984</li> <li>➤ Highway Act 1984</li> <li>➤ Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2007</li> </ul>

The Isle of Wight Council has identified the need to consult with minority groups before Integrated Transport accessibility schemes take place. With this in mind a Mobility Impaired Consultation Group has been formed and this scheme was discussed on Thursday 17<sup>th</sup> May 2012, where no negative comments were raised.

Head of Service Sign off:	
Advice sought from Legal Services (Name)	Ben Gard
Date	30/5/2012

A signed version is to be kept by your team and also an electronic version should be published on the council's website (follow the link from the EIA page on the intranet)

## Stage 2 Full Equality Impact Assessment

<b>Assessor(s)Name(s):</b>	Denise Scott (Programme Officer – Design) Dave Marsh (Team Leader – Design)
<b>Directorate:</b>	Economy and Environment
<b>Date of Completion:</b>	14 <sup>th</sup> May 2012

### Name of Strategy Proposal

Integrated Transport Capital Programme 2012/2013.  
High Street, Carisbrooke, Isle of Wight – Footway Widening.

### The Aims, Objectives and Expected Outcomes:

To improve pedestrian safety and accessibility by widening the footpath in High Street, Carisbrooke, on the south side from a point west of the current pedestrian crossing facility to a point adjacent to No 35 High Street, Carisbrooke.

Carisbrooke High Street forms part of the main traffic route from Newport to the West Wight; traffic flows are high, as is the level of pedestrian activity, particularly at peak times.

In recent times, residents and parents have made continued representation about their concerns for pedestrian safety, particularly at the current signal controlled pedestrian facility. The primary concern relates to the inadequate footway width adjacent to the crossing and the poor compliance of the signals when drivers fail to stop on a red light.

The objective of the scheme is address the current deficiencies on-site, upgrade facilities such that they comply with current design standards and to widen the footway, increasing capacity for pedestrians waiting to use the crossing.

The scheme will contribute to the delivery of targets under Theme 1 of the Community Strategy (guaranteeing the quality of life and sustainability) and particularly target 1.41, to cut the number of short car journeys being made.

Please delete as appropriate:

- This is a new strategy proposal

### **Scope of the Equality Impact Assessment**

To assess the impact of the scheme on persons that may be affected by the widening of the footpath.

### **Analysis and assessment**

Carisbrooke High Street forms part of the main traffic route from Newport to the West Wight; traffic flows are high, as is the level of pedestrian activity, particularly at peak times.

In recent times, residents and parents have made continued representation about their concerns for pedestrian safety, particularly at the current signal controlled pedestrian facility; the primary concern relates to the inadequate footway width adjacent to the crossing and the poor compliance of the signals when drivers fail to stop on a red light.

It is therefore intended to address the current deficiencies on site by upgrading the existing facilities such that they comply with current design standards and to widen the footpath in High Street, Carisbrooke, on the south side from a point west of the current pedestrian crossing facility to a point adjacent to No 35 High Street, Carisbrooke.

In January 2012, the Isle of Wight Council consulted with Newport Parish Council and the local councillor (John Hobart) and support was received in writing via email. It is also minuted in the Newport Parish Council Planning and Licensing Committee held on 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2012.

Further to the support being received, the scheme was then included in the Integrated Transport project, which was approved on 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2012 under delegated decision powers, by the Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport and Waste as part of the Highways and Transport Capital Programme 2012 – 2013.

The widening of the footway will improve road safety and accessibility for all pedestrians, especially the mobility impaired, elderly and young families with children in prams and pushchairs.

### **Recommendations**

It is recommended to implement the scheme as designed.

Action to reintroduce a community group with a range of accessibility issues in order to consult on a regular basis on forthcoming scheme proposals.

**Action/Improvement Plan**


The table below should be completed using the information from your equality impact assessment to produce an action plan for the implementation of the proposals to:

1. Remove or lower the negative impact, and/or
2. Ensure that the negative impact is legal under anti-discriminatory law, and/or
3. Provide an opportunity to promote equality, equal opportunity and improve relations within equality target groups, i.e. increase the positive impact

Area of impact	Is there evidence of negative positive or no impact?	Could this lead to adverse impact and if so why?	Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group or any other reason?	Please detail what measures or changes you will put in place to remedy any identified impact (NB: please make sure that you include actions to improve all areas of impact whether negative, neutral or positive)
Age	Positive	No	N/A	N/A
Disability	Positive	No	N/A	N/A
Gender Reassignment	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
Marriage & Civil Partnership	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
Pregnancy & Maternity	Positive	No	N/A	N/A
Race	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
Religion / Belief	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
Sex (male or female)	No impact	No	N/A	N/A



Area of impact	Is there evidence of negative positive or no impact?	Could this lead to adverse impact and if so why?	Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group or any other reason?	Please detail what measures or changes you will put in place to remedy any identified impact (NB: please make sure that you include actions to improve all areas of impact whether negative, neutral or positive)
Sexual Orientation	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
HR & workforce issues	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
Human Rights implications if relevant	No impact	No	N/A	N/A
Please remember - actions should have SMART targets and be reported to the Diversity Board (this should be done via your Directorate representative) and incorporated into your service/team Plans and /or objectives of key staff				

Summary	
Date of Assessment:	14 <sup>th</sup> May 2012.
Signed off by Head of Service/Director	
Review date	
Date published	31 / 05 / 2012

<b>Publishing checklist</b>	Yes	No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plain English – will your EIA make sense to the public?</li> <li>• Acronyms – check you have explained any specialist names or terminology</li> <li>• Evidence – will your evidence stand up to scrutiny; can you justify your conclusions?</li> <li>• Stakeholders and verification – have you included a range of views and perspectives to back up you analysis?</li> <li>• Gaps and information – have you identified any gaps in services or information that need to be addressed in the action plan?</li> <li>• Success stories – have you included any positive impacts that have resulted in change for the better?</li> <li>• Action plan – is action plan SMART? Have you informed the relevant people to ensure the action plan is carried out?</li> <li>• Review have you included a review date and a named person to carry it out?</li> <li>• Challenge – has your equality impact assessment been taken to Diversity Board/Call Over for challenge?</li> <li>• Signing off – has your Head of Service/Director signed off your EIA?</li> <li>• Basics – have you signed and dated your EIA and named it for publishing?</li> <li>• A signed version to be kept by your team for review and electronic version to be uploaded on to the council's website</li> </ul>		