

**THE CALL IN PERIOD FOR THIS DECISION EXPIRES AT 5.00PM ON
THURSDAY, 14 APRIL 2011. THE DECISION CANNOT BE IMPLEMENTED
UNTIL AFTER THAT DATE.**

RECORD OF DECISION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED POWERS AT COUNTY
HALL, NEWPORT, ISLE OF WIGHT ON WEDNESDAY, 6 APRIL 2011

Present: Cllr Edward Giles – Cabinet Member for Transport, Environment and Corporate Services

Jonathan Baker – Democratic Services Officer

Item	Highways and Transport Capital Programme 2011 / 2012
Decision reference	11/11
Decision Notice Published	23 March 2011
Decision taken	<p>THAT the 2011/12 Highways & Transport capital programme as detailed in Appendices A – F of the report.</p> <p>THAT authority be delegated to the Director of Economy & Environment to bring forward reserve schemes if deliverable within the budget allocation</p>
Reasons for decision	<p>To prioritise schemes and take forward in any one year.</p> <p>To make provision for delivery of schemes funded from development contributions</p>
Additional reasons	To honour the statutory obligation under Section 41(1) of the Highways Act 1980 "to maintain the highway" at the expense of the public purse
Options considered and rejected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve / do not approve individual projects in the 2011/2012 Highways & Transport Capital Programme as detailed in Appendices A - F. • Do not approve the 2011/2012 Highways & Transport Capital Programme as detailed in Appendices A - F.
Representations Received	<p>Representations were received from Cllr Ian Ward, Elected Member for Sandown South with regard to the proposed Pier Street / Beechfield Road Junction works as well as funding for new footways.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member responded by advising that the proposed narrowing of the junction was for safety reasons as it had been recognised as a safe route to school for children through an independent safe route to school audit after the safety of the route was questioned by residents.</p> <p>With regard to New Footways, the Cabinet Member responded by advising that each of the footways in the programme were relatively low cost schemes designed to solve specific problems and address either accessibility or safety concerns. Such funding could not be diverted to other areas of resources outside the Highways Capital Programme.</p>

	<p>Representations were also received from Bembridge Parish Council with regard to concerns about Foreland Road not being considered for resurfacing and large area patching being the proposed alternative which could cause a potential danger to cyclists. A request was also made for anti-skid surfacing to be repaired or replaced at the bottom of the hill by The Pilot Boat junction as well as Longland's Shute.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member responded by advising that extensive base repairs and resurfacing were required to correct the poor ride quality and profile of the carriageway and that the road was safe and did not justify a priority for inclusion in the maintenance programme for 2011/12. However, regular monitoring of the section would be carried out and repairs made if necessary in the interim to maintain safety.</p> <p>With regard to The Pilot Boat junction, the anti skid surface was repaired recently and should therefore still be covered by warranty. The contractor would therefore be contacted as soon as possible. Regarding the Longland's concerns, the Cabinet Member advised that, based on collision records, the location had not been identified as a site where additional surfacing would be required and would therefore not rank highly against council priorities for anti-skid treatment.</p>
Declarations of interest	None declared
Additional advice received	None received