PAPER A



Minutes

Name of meeting FULL COUNCIL

Date and time WEDNESDAY, 24 FEBRUARY 2010 COMMENCING AT 6.00 PM

Venue COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNTY HALL, NEWPORT, ISLE OF WIGHT

Present Cllrs Arthur Taylor (Chairman), Barry Abraham, Jonathan Bacon,

Reg Barry, Peter Bingham, George Brown, Ivan Bulwer, George Cameron, Vanessa Churchman, Dawn Cousins, Roger Dixcey, Rodney Downer, Stuart Dyer, Paul Fuller, Edward Giles, John Hobart, Richard Hollis, John Howe, Heather Humby, Tim Hunter-Henderson, Julie Jones-Evans, David Knowles, Geoff Lumley, Roger Mazillius, David Pugh, Colin Richards, Susan Scoccia, Ian Stephens, Ian Ward, Margaret Webster, Chris Welsford, Jerry White Wayne Whittle, Adrian Whittaker, David Whittaker, David Williams, William Wyatt-

Millington

Apologies Lora Peacey-Wilcox

Prior to the start of the meeting the Chairman called for a minute's silence in remembrance of the passing of Mr John Effemey who had formerly been a member of the council.

49. Minutes

RESOLVED:

THAT the Minutes of the meeting held on <u>13 January 2010</u> be confirmed.

50. Chairman's Report

A written report had been circulated for information. The Chairman advised that a murder and mystery event was to be held on 25 March 2010 at Shanklin Conservative Club, with the proceeds going to the Hospice and Haylands Farm.

51. **Declarations of Interest**

Councillor Ian Stephens declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as he was a committee member of Friends of the Adelaide Centre, Ryde.

Councillor Ivan Bulwer declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as he was chairman of two Abbeyfield homes in Ryde.

Councillor Jonathan Bacon declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as he was a trustee of the Law Centre, Newport.

Councillor Geoff Lumley declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as he was a trustee of the Riverside Centre, Newport.

Councillor George Cameron declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as his wife was her mothers dedicated carer.

Councillor David Pugh declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as he was a chair of a Community Music Organisation and Trustee of the Real World Trust.

Councillor Susan Scoccia declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as she was a trustee of the Rural Community Council.

Councillor Heather Humby declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as she was a pensioner.

Councillor William Wyatt-Millington declared a personal interest in Minute 54, as he was a personal carer to his mother.

Councillor Arthur Taylor declared a personal and prejudicial interest in Minute 54, as a close family member worked at Westminster House, Newport. In view of that link and to make the meeting easier to manage Councillor Taylor formally stood down as the Chairman for the meeting.

At this point Councillor Taylor vacated the Chair and invited the Vice Chairman Councillor Ian Stephens to take his place.

Councillor Ian Stephens also indicated his wished to stand down as Vice Chairman for the meeting as he was the seconder to an amendment to the budget and he wished to avoid any conflict between his civic and political roles.

52. Election of Chairman for the Day

As a result of Councillors Arthur Taylor and Ian Stephens deciding to stand down as Chairman and Vice Chairman respectively for this meeting it was necessary to elect a chairman for the day.

Councillor Roger Mazillius was proposed and seconded. There being no further nominations it was

RESOLVED:

THAT Councillor Roger Mazillius be elected Chairman for the day.

(Councillor Mazillius took the Chair).

53. Suspension of Council Procedure Rule 8(1)

A proposal to suspend Council Procedure Rule 8(1) was proposed and seconded to enable public question time to be extended up to 30 minutes.

RESOLVED:

THAT Procedure Rule 8(1) be suspended for the duration of public question time at this meeting so to amend the time limit of public question time from 15 minutes to up to 30 minutes.

54. Public Question Time

Questions were put to the Chairman as follows:

Name Councillor Ian Ward asked on behalf of Mr Mark Dunsford of Sandown (PQ 05/10)	Subject Justification of the enormous price increases to the car parking permits.	Comment The Cabinet Member advised that a revised proposal would be discussed later in the evening and gave a brief history of the parking permits.
Mrs Hazel Wyld of Freshwater (<u>PQ 06/10</u>)	Had a comparison with the potential cost to the council been made if carers were forced to ask for residential care for vulnerable adults?	The Cabinet Member advised that the personal budget was for users not carers. It was hoped to encourage users to move from building based services. The cost of residential care had not been calculated in detail.
	A supplementary question was put with regard to Mrs Wyld's daughter.	The Cabinet Member would personally contact Mrs Wyld.

(Councillor Taylor had declared a personal and prejudicial interest and left the room).

Name Mr John Rosenthal of Cowes (PQ 07/10)	Subject How could the Council justify the proposed closure of Westminster House as a money saving exercise?	indicated there were no plans to remove respite
	A supplementary question was put in relation to comparisons with other units.	advised that no decision

Name

Mr David Miller of Cowes (PQ 08/10)

Subject

Could an assurance be given that class sizes for primary school pupils of greater than 30 pupils were wholly unacceptable?

Comment

The Cabinet Member advised that primary schools had varying class sizes, with 30 being the maximum for Key Stage 1.

Mrs Naomi Somerville on behalf of the Supporting People Forum (PQ 09/10) Did the Local Authority act within the grant conditions when carrying over unspent grant funding. The Cabinet Member advised that he had consulted with the Director of Corporate Services. who had confirmed that the approach was sound. Any unspent grant was used to fund the Supporting People Service.

What form of consultation had been used?

There had been a wide consultation ranging process throughout the community. Information from a wide range of stakeholders was reviewed and was reflected in the revised proposals before Full Council.

How would the Council justify a reduction in funding before an Equality Impact Assessment had been properly finalised.

Had an Equality Impact Assessment been carried out in relation to the proposals to Westminster House. Newport, Adelaide, Ryde and the Gouldings, Freshwater, and to the phased withdrawal of financial support for Meadowbrook Learning Disability day centre?

A supplementary question was put as part of an assessment would the Local Authority undertake a full assessment of need for each client.

Equality Impact Assessments would be completed by the service if the decision was taken by Full Council, but were presently covered by the overall Equality Impact Assessment contained within Appendix 6 of the Budget Paper.

It was proposed to allocate additional funding to the Community Services pot.

The Cabinet Member advised that would be undertaken as it was a legal requirement.

Mrs Susan Pocock of Sandford (PQ 11/10)

The Leader indicated that Mrs Val Sharpe of What comments on the vote Newport of no confidence from Unison he had noted the (PQ 10/10) members and was there an of Unison concerns impact report? had members and recently held discussions with the Branch Secretary. Mr John Wortham PFI When started the Cabinet Member of Brighstone Authority was committed to advised he would provide £11.1m plus per year a written response. inflation. What happened to surplus of money not spent? Mrs Jill Wareham Had the Cllr Bingham visited The Cabinet Member of Brighstone. and consulted with people advised that he had not the budget would affect. visited any premises as his remit was for the entire budget. It was up to each of the Cabinet members to know their areas. His

(Councillor Taylor re-entered the room).

55. Presentation of Petitions

Councillor Geoff Lumley had received three petitions:

A 1,451 signature petition in relation to Westminster House.

A 669 signature petition from Save Our Services.

A 527 signature petition from Johns Club.

Councillor Dawn Cousins, Cabinet Member for Children, Social Care, Health and Housing received the petitions.

56. Suspension of Council Procedure Rule 14(4)

A proposal to suspend Council Procedure Rule 14(4) was proposed and seconded, which would remove the time limit on speeches from Group Leaders. Following the vote it was

RESOLVED:

THAT Procedure Rule 14(4) be partially suspended for the duration of the following item of business to increase the time limit on speeches from Group leaders (or their nominees) from 10 minutes to up to 30 minutes.

was an overarching role.

57. Recommendations from the Cabinet

Council had received a copy of the report which had been submitted to and agreed by Cabinet on 9 February 2010.

The Leader advised an amendment to those proposals had been circulated. He indicated that some unpalatable decisions had had to be made, but careful consideration had been given to the representations received. He had sought to address those concerns where possible. An additional £750,000 was to be allocated to the Adult Social Care and Supporting People budgets.

The sale of residents parking permits was to be put on hold until a comprehensive review could be undertaken. All permits currently in use would be honoured.

The Leader announced that a mid year review of the budget would take place in September 2010 when it would be possible to make any revisions.

The proposed increase for the Council Tax for 2010/11 was 2.5% although it was intended to freeze the Council Tax for the following two years.

The amendment to the motion was moved and seconded. The revised recommendation was as follows:

- (a) The Medium Term Financial Strategy set out in Appendix 9.
- (b) The Medium-Term Financial Plan as set out in Appendix 2.
- (c) No use of general fund balances to be applied for 2010/11 budget, although there will be a reduction in the proposed provision in reserves for doubtful debts, by £300,000.
- (d) The schedule of savings set out in Appendix 4, subject to the following stipulations and amendments, with accompanying adjustments to savings realised / income generated:

(i) That EE2 – general 10% increase in parking tariffs – is 123,000 not applied, with parking tariffs instead being frozen.

- (ii) That EE3 residents parking permits £200 + 24hr £300 is not introduced. Furthermore, that issuing of residents parking permits is discontinued for the time being, pending a full review of parking provision as part of the Council's transport policy. The impact of ceasing to issue the existing permit is to be assessed prior to a revised parking scheme being brought before members in due course.
- (iii) That additional funding is allocated to Community 750 Services (for Adult Social Care and Supporting People), with a particular emphasis on meeting the needs of the most vulnerable within our community i.e. those with greatest need. Officers are requested to consult with partners and service users, to identify which clients

750,000

-600,000

£

would be in greatest need of support (in the absence of other suitable care and support services). This funding may be also used to support the move to personal budgets for those who are eligible. Final decisions on this use of this additional funding will be made after discussion between the relevant Cabinet Members and chief officers. This process should also take into account where the greatest risks exist in terms of potential service and budget impact on other council service areas.

(v) That the overall saving to be achieved through Service Redesign of £1,473,000 is agreed, but the specific examples given of possible services for transformation, as outlined in TR1 to TR10, are still subject to further work, exemplification and consultation. That a paper outlining these proposals for Service Redesign is brought to Cabinet on 30th March 2010.

Supported by: reduction in the provision in reserves for "doubtful debts"

-300,000

- (e) An increase in the Isle of Wight Council element of Council Tax by 2.5% for 2010/11.
- (f) The overall Capital Programme set out in Appendix 8.
- (g) The Prudential Code Indicators, Treasury Management Strategy and Investment Strategy as set out in Appendix 14.
- (h) The overall Council tax base of 55,167.0 for 2010/11.
- (i) A mid-year budget review is brought back to Full Council in September, allowing all members to consider the impact of these budget decisions and whether there needs to be any in-year changes to ensure the delivery of a balanced budget for the remainder of the 2010-11 financial year.
- (j) The establishment of monthly Star Chamber meetings, bringing Directors and Cabinet Members together to regularly monitor and challenge expenditure in different service areas.

(Councillor Arthur Taylor had previously declared a personal and prejudicial interest and left the room).

An alternative budget, which had been circulated with the agenda, was proposed by Councillor Geoff Lumley and seconded by Councillor lan Stephens.

Councillor Lumley advised members there was likely to be an overspend of between £2.5m - £3m this year.

He believed that savings could be made by abandoning Environment and Neighbourhood Officers and replacing them with Community Wardens. Car parking permits should be doubled in price for everyone under pensionable age and a there should be a 15% reduction for those with salaries above £90,000. These would make a substantial amount to be spent elsewhere.

Westminster House would remain open and Haylands Farm, Osel and the Riverside Centre would be restored.

The Council should be looking at Smart street lighting schemes, which were eco issues with a payback. £500,000 could be taken from doubtful debts, which would still leave a pot of £1,500,000.

During the debate four members stood and asked for a named vote for each budget proposal.

The following amendment was put:

Dogo Dudgot	£000
Base Budget	131,989
Pension Fund Increases Adjustments in 2009/10 Base	450
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	511
Adjusted Base Budget	132,950
Add:	
Inflation Capital Financing Costs Fire Model for Change Highways PFI Preliminary Costs Landfill Tax Increase CRC Energy Efficiency and Renewables Identified Service Pressures per budget proposal Other Costs - Net - per budget proposal	1,550 1,360 300 981 320 80 6,533 320
Deduct:	
Savings delivered through Service Savings and Delivering Better Services - excluding Fire and Schools - per budget proposal Identified savings adjustments per budget proposal Further cost reductions per budget proposal	-11,029 -247 -654
Service Reductions from Current Budget:	
Reduce Capital Programme by £10m - borrowing costs year 1 Review and Reduce Communications Budget Review and Reduce Performance Budget Abandon Environment & Neighbourhood Officers scheme Additional Savings Identified:	-400 -500 -250 -404
Reduction in salaries above £90k - 15% exemplar Smart' Reductions in Street Lighting times	-163 -250

Leisure Facilities - reduce trading deficit Harbour Facilities - reduce trading deficit	-50 -57
Carbon Emissions:	
Additional Revenue Costs to Support Reduction in Carbon Emissions - including affordability of capital investment Plan to Reduce Carbon Emissions by up to 10% each year	240 -650
Investment in Service Priorities:	
Reduce Public Realm cuts Reduce proposed Cowes Ferry increase Restore various Care facilities funding by 25%	100 30 120
Restore Carers Advocacy cuts Reduce Supporting People cuts	13 1,000
Reduce Client Numbers savings Reduce Learning Disability eligibility cuts	250 250
Restore cuts to homeless services Restore Music Service subsidy	64 72
Restore 'Review of financial support to unions' Restore RCC cuts	50 27
Retain Westminster House for vulnerable people	215
Restore 'Highways service ' capacity cuts Remove 'Internal Home Care review' cuts	60 33
New Community Wardens (10)	250
Car Parking Proposals:	
Replace proposal to increase permits to £200	900
Standard Parking Permit - increase to £150 pa (excluding pensioners)	-450
Net Expenditure	132,964
Financed By:	
Formula Grant	61,397
Reduce Provision in Reserves for 'Doubtful Debts' Council Tax Demand (net of CF +/-)	500 71,067
	132,964
Tax base (band D equivalents)	55,167.0
Collection Fund balance	-87
Proposed Band D Council Tax	1,289.80
Proposed Tax Increase (%)	2.50

A named vote was taken as follows:

For (15):

Clirs Bacon, Barry, Churchman, Downer, Dyer, Fuller, Howe, Humby, Knowles, Lumley, Richards, Stephens, Welsford, A Whittaker, Whittle

Against (20):

Cllrs Abraham, Bingham, Brown, Bulwer, Cameron, Cousins, Dixcey, Giles, Hobart, Hollis, Hunter-Henderson, Jones-Evans, Pugh, Scoccia, Ward, Webster, White, D Whittaker Williams, Wyatt-Millington

Abstentions (0)

RESOLVED:

THAT the amendment was lost.

Suspension of Council Procedure Rule 26

The Chairman drew Council's attention to the time and proposed an extension to the meeting of up to one hour. The proposal was seconded and following the vote it was

RESOLVED:

THAT the meeting be extended for up to one hour.

An amendment to a further alternative budget was proposed by Councillor Reg Barry and seconded by Councillor David Knowles.

It was suggested a reduction on the doubtful debt provision could be used to preserve Westminster House, with the balance going to a reduction in expected savings from the residents' permit. The £1.8m publicity, propaganda and performance budget disempowered and distracted from front line staff.

The £40m prudential borrowing, which was unsupported by Government grant, would fall entirely on the local taxpayer.

The following amendment was put:

1) Revised Amendment

That the following changes be made to the Cabinet recommendation:

- a) Revenue expenditure be reduced by £695,000 to £131,769,000, as detailed in section 4 of the original amendment and below
- b) Band D Council Tax be set at £1277.20, an increase of 1.5% on 2009-10

c) Capital expenditure be reduced by £14,293,000, as set out in section 4j of the original amendment

2) Summary of proposals as revised

	£	
Draft budget	132,464,000	Notes
Liberal Democrat amendment:		
Doubtful debts provision	-300,000	
ECOLOGY	0.4.5.000	
Abolish resident's parking permit	-915,000	4a
Error in peak period concessionary fares	007.000	41-
savings	267,000	4b
Cost of retaining peak period subsidy Performance related pay for cabinet	13,000	4c
Performance related pay for cabinet members	-13,000	4d
Non-school energy conservation	-13,000	4 0
(10%:5%)	-190,000	4e
EFFICIENCY	100,000	70
Remove ENO layer of management	-450,000	4f
Return senior management structure to	,	
2005 levels	-750,000	4 g
Internal communication/performance by		_
service managers	-295,000	4h
DEEDS NOT WORDS		
Abolish propaganda budget	-350,000	4i
FINANCIAL PRUDENCE		
Restrict prudential borrowing to self	050.000	41
financing schemes	-350,000	4j
Additional savings in full year		£2,235,000 4k
PROTECTING SERVICES	250,000	1
Learning disability day centres Westminster House	215,000)
Carers advocacy	13,000)
Saturday respite service	11,000)
Haylands Farm	21,000) 41
Riverside Centre	86,000)
Osel	112,000)
Homelessness and temporary		,
accommodation	30,000)
Supporting people/client numbers	1,850,000	4m
Trade Union support	50,000	4n
NET EXPENDITURE	131,769,000	
Formula Grant	61,396,600	
Council Tax demand	70,372,400	
Collection Fund deficit	87,000 55,167	
Tax base	55,167 £1 277 20	
BAND D TAX INCREASE	£1,277.20 1.50%	40
INCREASE	1.30%	40

3) Items in section 4 affected by the revisions

4a) Abolish resident car parking permit £915,000 £1,350,000

The resident car parking permit has been extremely popular with the minority of Islanders who have one. It was considered by the last non-Tory council but rejected for a number of reasons:

- It would entail an annual subsidy of £20-£40 from each taxpayer, motorist or not
- It would clog up the Island's car parks, to the detriment of high street commerce and to the benefit of out of town shopping
- It goes completely against Government policy on traffic management and any attempt to reduce unnecessary car use.

The Conservative amendment is based on very simplistic assumptions about behaviour after permits are withdrawn. 100% data on usage patterns in previous years on the other hand suggest that the savings will be between £915k and £1,150k in a part year.

The costings here allow for retention of the commuter's permit at the Administration's proposed price, with the option of monthly payment by direct debit at no additional cost.

2010/11 Full year **4I) Protecting services – adult services** £738,000 £738,000

These are fairly self explanatory reversals of proposed cuts, some of which will destroy important local facilities which have been built up over many years and currently lever in massive voluntary effort. We do not believe the explanations and assurances provided. **The Westminster House proposals for example are not "transformational in nature" but represent a significant reduction in quality of life for both affected client groups.**

- Learning disability centres (£250k)
- Westminster House (£215k)
- Carers advocacy (£13k)
- Saturday respite service (£11k)
- Haylands Farm (£21k)
- Riverside Centre (86k)
- Osel (£112k)
- Homelessness and temporary accommodation (£30k)

2010/11 Full year **4m) Supporting People/Client** £1,850,000 £1,850,000 **Numbers/LD eliqibility**

The current financial mess unfortunately does not allow a complete reversal of these appalling reductions in support for the vulnerable and elderly. This contingency is provided at a level of 40% of the proposed reduction, to be ring fenced and only released with agreement of full Council, and targeted in mitigation of the worst impact on clients.

A named vote was taken as follows:

For (10):

Cllrs Bacon, Barry, Churchman, Fuller, Howe, Knowles, Lumley, Richards, A Whittaker, Whittle

Against (20):

Cllrs Abraham, Bingham, Brown, Bulwer, Cameron, Cousins, Dixcey, Giles, Hobart, Hollis, Hunter-Henderson, Jones-Evans, Pugh, Scoccia, Ward, Webster, White, D Whittaker Williams, Wyatt-Millington

Abstentions (5)

Cllrs Downer, Dyer, Humby, Stephens, Welsford

(Councillor Taylor re-entered the room)

RESOLVED:

THAT the amendment was lost.

The council then gave consideration to the amended cabinet recommendation which had earlier been moved by the Leader and duly seconded Questions were put to the Leader and replies given in relation to:

- Concessionary bus fares
- Westminster House
- Carbon emissions
- Street lighting scheme
- Additional £750,000 for adult social care
- Parking permits
- Mid year review

(Councillor Arthur Taylor had previously declared a personal and prejudicial interest and left the room whilst Westminster House was being discussed).

A named vote was then taken on the amended cabinet recommendation and was as follows:-

For (19):

Cllrs Abraham, Bingham, Brown, Bulwer, Cameron, Cousins, Dixcey, Giles, Hobart, Hollis, Hunter-Henderson, Jones-Evans, Pugh, Scoccia, Taylor, Ward, Webster, White, Williams

Against (14):

Cllrs Bacon, Barry, Churchman, Downer, Dyer, Fuller, Howe, Humby, Knowles, Lumley, Richards, Stephens, Welsford, Whittle

Abstentions (1)

Cllr Wyatt-Millington

This then became the substantive motion and following the vote it was :-

RESOLVED:

- (a) The Medium Term Financial Strategy set out in Appendix 9.
- (b) The Medium-Term Financial Plan as set out in Appendix 2.
- (c) No use of general fund balances to be applied for 2010/11 budget, although there will be a reduction in the proposed provision in reserves for doubtful debts, by £300,000.
- (d) The schedule of savings set out in Appendix 4, subject to the following stipulations and amendments, with accompanying adjustments to savings realised / income generated:

(i) That EE2 – general 10% increase in parking tariffs – is not 123,000 applied, with parking tariffs instead being frozen.

(ii) That EE3 – residents parking permits £200 + 24hr £300 – is -600,000 not introduced. Furthermore, that issuing of residents parking permits is discontinued for the time being, pending a full review of parking provision as part of the Council's transport policy. The impact of ceasing to issue the existing permit is to be assessed prior to a revised parking scheme being brought before members in due course.

(iii) That additional funding is allocated to Community Services (for Adult Social Care and Supporting People), with a particular emphasis on meeting the needs of the most vulnerable within our community i.e. those with greatest need. Officers are requested to consult with partners and service users, to identify which clients would be in greatest need of support (in the absence of other suitable care and support services). This funding may be also used to support the move to personal budgets for those who are eligible. Final decisions on this use of this additional funding will be made after discussion between the relevant Cabinet Members and chief officers. This process should also take into account where the greatest risks exist in terms of potential service and budget impact on other council service areas.

(v) That the overall saving to be achieved through Service Redesign of £1,473,000 is agreed, but the specific examples given of possible services for transformation, as outlined in TR1 to TR10, are still subject to further work, exemplification and consultation. That a paper outlining

750.000

£

these proposals for Service Redesign is brought to Cabinet on 30th March 2010.

Supported by: reduction in the provision in reserves for "doubtful -300,000 debts"

- (e) An increase in the IOW Council element of Council Tax by 2.5% for 2010/11.
- (f) The overall Capital Programme set out in Appendix 8.
- (g) The Prudential Code Indicators, Treasury Management Strategy and Investment Strategy as set out in Appendix 14.
- (h) The overall Council tax base of 55,167.0 for 2010/11.
- (i) A mid-year budget review is brought back to Full Council in September, allowing all members to consider the impact of these budget decisions and whether there needs to be any in-year changes to ensure the delivery of a balanced budget for the remainder of the 2010-11 financial year.
- (j) The establishment of monthly Star Chamber meetings, bringing Directors and Cabinet Members together to regularly monitor and challenge expenditure in different service areas.

BUDGET RECOMMENDED RESOLUTION FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2010-11 (Part 2)

- (i) THAT the Budget Requirement for 2010/11 be £132,464,050 and allocated to Service Budgets as set out in Appendix 3 to the report on the 2010/11 2012/13 Budget Strategy and Council Tax Setting 2010/11
- (ii) THAT a Schools Budget, inclusive of the Post 16 allocations, at the level of Dedicated Schools Grant be set for 2010/11
- (iii) THAT the Schools Budget shares be determined by the Director of Children and Young People, in consultation with the Schools Forum, within the approved Schools Budget
- (iv) THAT it be noted that the Council calculated the amounts for the financial year 2010/10 in accordance with regulations made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Act 1992 (The Tax Base)
- (v) THAT the amounts now calculated by the Council for the year 2010/11 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Act 1992 be as set out in Appendix 1 to this Council Tax Resolution
- (vi) THAT it be noted that for the year 2010/11 the Hampshire Police Authority has stated the following amounts in Precepts issued to this Council, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings listed below:

Valuation Authority	Bands	-	Precepting
			£
Band A			97.50
Band B			113.75
Band C			130.00
Band D			146.25
Band E			178.75
Band F			211.25
Band G			243.75
Band H			292.50

- (vii) There are no Special Expenses in 2010/11 under Section 35(2)(d) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.
- (viii) THAT, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts of paragraph xii) above and paragraph e) columns 1 and 2 of Appendix 1 to this Council Tax Resolution, the Council, in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts as the amounts of Council Tax for the year 2010/11 for each of the categories of dwelling shown in Appendix 1.

TOTAL EXPENDITURE AND PARISH/TOWN PRECEPTS

£342,350,440

being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)[a] to [e] of the Act, which includes Parish and Town Council Precepts totalling £1,413,629 which are detailed at (e) below and a Collection Fund deficit of £87,000.

£208,385,761

being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)[a] to [c] of the Act.

£133,964,679

being the amount by which the aggregate at (a) above exceeds the aggregate at (b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year.

£61,396,654

being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General Fund in respect of redistributed Non-Domestic Rates, Revenue Support Grant or additional grant and calculated in accordance with the Local Authorities (Alteration of Requisite Calculations)(England) Regulations 2010.

Parish & Town Council	Parish & Town Council Precept £ Council £		Hampshire Police Authority £	Total Council Tax Band D Property £
	1	2	3	4
Arreton	16.57	1,289.80	146.25	1,452.62
Bembridge	47.01	1,289.80	146.25	1,483.06
Brading	54.01	1,289.80	146.25	1,490.06
Brighstone	19.52	1,289.80	146.25	1,455.57
Calbourne	23.45	1,289.80	146.25	1,459.50
Chale	30.43	1,289.80	146.25	1,466.48
Cowes	20.36	1,289.80	146.25	1,456.41
East Cowes	27.39	1,289.80	146.25	1,463.44
Fishbourne	18.29	1,289.80	146.25	1,454.34
Freshwater	23.83	1,289.80	146.25	1,459.88
Gatcombe	36.15	1,289.80	146.25	1,472.20
Godshill	23.67	1,289.80	146.25	1,459.72
Gurnard	39.80	1,289.80	146.25	1,475.85
Havenstreet &				
Ashey	24.27	1,289.80	146.25	1,460.32
Lake	12.98	1,289.80	146.25	1,449.03
Nettlestone &				
Seaview	17.33	1,289.80	146.25	1,453.38
Newchurch	9.99	1,289.80	146.25	1,446.04
Newport	15.94	1,289.80	146.25	1,451.99
Niton & Whitwell	12.35	1,289.80	146.25	1,448.40
Northwood	12.24	1,289.80	146.25	1,448.29
Rookley	24.15	1,289.80	146.25	1,460.20
Ryde	22.97	1,289.80	146.25	1,459.02
Sandown	38.40	1,289.80	146.25	1,474.45
Shalfleet	32.80	1,289.80	146.25	1,468.85
Shanklin	29.72	1,289.80	146.25	1,465.77
Shorwell	17.70	1,289.80	146.25	1,453.75
St Helens	21.84	1,289.80	146.25	1,457.89
Totland	25.03	1,289.80	146.25	1,461.08
Ventnor	54.21	1,289.80	146.25	1,490.26
Whippingham	30.63	1,289.80	146.25	1,466.68
Wootton	32.14	1,289.80	146.25	1,468.19
Wroxall	14.58	1,289.80	146.25	1,450.63
Yarmouth	34.79	1,289.80	146.25	1,470.84

column 1 being the amounts precepted by Parish and Town Councils divided by the amounts of their respective Taxbase.

£1,315.42 being the amount at [c] above less the amount at [d] above, all divided by the amount of its Taxbase, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.

£1,413,628.50 being the aggregate amount of Parish and Town Precepts referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act.

£1,289.80

being the amount at [f] above less the result given by dividing the amount at [g] above by the amount of its Taxbase calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year.

Part of the

i ait oi tiie	
Council's Area	£
Arreton	1,306.37
Bembridge	1,336.81
Brading	1,343.81
Brighstone	1,309.32
Calbourne	1,313.25
Chale	1,320.23
Cowes	1,310.16
East Cowes	1,317.19
Fishbourne	1,308.09
Freshwater	1,313.63
Gatcombe	1,325.95
Godshill	1,313.47
Gurnard	1,329.60
Havenstreet &	
Ashey	1,314.07
Lake	1,302.78
Nettlestone &	
Seaview	1,307.13
Newchurch	1,299.79
Newport	1,305.74
Niton & Whitwell	1,302.15
Northwood	1,302.04
Rookley	1,313.95
Ryde	1,312.77
Sandown	1,328.20
Shalfleet	1,322.60
Shanklin	1,319.52
Shorwell	1,307.50
St Helens	1,311.64
Totland	1,314.83
Ventnor	1,344.01
Whippingham	1,320.43
Wootton	1,321.94
Wroxall	1,304.38
Yarmouth	1,324.59

being the amounts given by adding to the amount at (h) above the amounts of the Parish and Town Council Precepts relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area contained at (e) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as basic amounts of its Council Tax including the relevant Parish or Town Council Precept.

Valuation Bands - Parts of the Council's Area

As detailed below, the amounts given by multiplying the amounts at paragraph (i) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band, calculated by the Council in accordance with section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands.

Council Tax Banding Calculation 2010/11 Including Police Precept

	Α	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
	(Disabled								
Parish & Town Council Areas	Reduction)								
Arreton	807.01	968.41	1,129.82	1,291.22	1,452.62	1,775.42	2,098.23	2,421.03	2,905.24
Bembridge	823.92	988.71	1,153.49	1,318.28	1,483.06	1,812.63	2,142.20	2,471.77	2,966.12
Brading	827.81	993.37	1,158.94	1,324.50	1,490.06	1,821.18	2,152.31	2,483.43	2,980.12
Brighstone	808.65	970.38	1,132.11	1,293.84	1,455.57	1,779.03	2,102.49	2,425.95	2,911.14
Calbourne	810.83	973.00	1,135.17	1,297.33	1,459.50	1,783.83	2,108.17	2,432.50	2,919.00
Chale	814.71	977.65	1,140.60	1,303.54	1,466.48	1,792.36	2,118.25	2,444.13	2,932.96
Cowes	809.12	970.94	1,132.76	1,294.59	1,456.41	1,780.06	2,103.70	2,427.35	2,912.82
East Cowes	813.02	975.63	1,138.23	1,300.84	1,463.44	1,788.65	2,113.86	2,439.07	2,926.88
Fishbourne	807.97	969.56	1,131.15	1,292.75	1,454.34	1,777.53	2,100.71	2,423.90	2,908.68
Freshwater	811.04	973.25	1,135.46	1,297.67	1,459.88	1,784.30	2,108.72	2,433.13	2,919.76
Gatcombe	817.89	981.47	1,145.04	1,308.62	1,472.20	1,799.36	2,126.51	2,453.67	2,944.40
Godshill	810.96	973.15	1,135.34	1,297.53	1,459.72	1,784.10	2,108.48	2,432.87	2,919.44
Gurnard	819.92	983.90	1,147.88	1,311.87	1,475.85	1,803.82	2,131.78	2,459.75	2,951.70
Havenstreet & Ashey	811.29	973.55	1,135.80	1,298.06	1,460.32	1,784.84	2,109.35	2,433.87	2,920.64
Lake	805.02	966.02	1,127.02	1,288.03	1,449.03	1,771.04	2,093.04	2,415.05	2,898.06
Nettlestone & Seaview	807.43	968.92	1,130.41	1,291.89	1,453.38	1,776.35	2,099.33	2,422.30	2,906.76
Newchurch	803.36	964.03	1,124.70	1,285.37	1,446.04	1,767.38	2,088.72	2,410.07	2,892.08
Newport	806.66	967.99	1,129.33	1,290.66	1,451.99	1,774.65	2,097.32	2,419.98	2,903.98
Niton & Whitwell	804.67	965.60	1,126.53	1,287.47	1,448.40	1,770.27	2,092.13	2,414.00	2,896.80
Northwood	804.61	965.53	1,126.45	1,287.37	1,448.29	1,770.13	2,091.97	2,413.82	2,896.58
Rookley	811.22	973.47	1,135.71	1,297.96	1,460.20	1,784.69	2,109.18	2,433.67	2,920.40
Ryde	810.57	972.68	1,134.79	1,296.91	1,459.02	1,783.25	2,107.47	2,431.70	2,918.04
Sandown	819.14	982.97	1,146.79	1,310.62	1,474.45	1,802.11	2,129.76	2,457.42	2,948.90
Shalfleet	816.03	979.23	1,142.44	1,305.64	1,468.85	1,795.26	2,121.67	2,448.08	2,937.70
Shanklin	814.32	977.18	1,140.04	1,302.91	1,465.77	1,791.50	2,117.22	2,442.95	2,931.54
Shorwell	807.64	969.17	1,130.69	1,292.22	1,453.75	1,776.81	2,099.86	2,422.92	2,907.50
St Helens	809.94	971.93	1,133.91	1,295.90	1,457.89	1,781.87	2,105.84	2,429.82	2,915.78
Totland	811.71	974.05	1,136.40	1,298.74	1,461.08	1,785.76	2,110.45	2,435.13	2,922.16
Ventnor	827.92	993.51	1,159.09	1,324.68	1,490.26	1,821.43	2,152.60	2,483.77	2,980.52
Whippingham	814.82	977.79	1,140.75	1,303.72	1,466.68	1,792.61	2,118.54	2,444.47	2,933.36
Wootton	815.66	978.79	1,141.93	1,305.06	1,468.19	1,794.45	2,120.72	2,446.98	2,936.38
Wroxall	805.91	967.09	1,128.27	1,289.45	1,450.63	1,772.99	2,095.35	2,417.72	2,901.26
Yarmouth	817.13	980.56	1,143.99	1,307.41	1,470.84	1,797.69	2,124.55	2,451.40	2,941.68

58. Reports of The Cabinet Members

(i) <u>The Leader and Cabinet Member for Governance and School</u> <u>Improvement</u>

A written report had been circulated for information.

(ii) The Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for the Economy, Tourism, Leisure and Planning

A written report had been circulated for information.

(iii) Cabinet Member for Children, Social Care, Health and Housing

A written report had been circulated for information.

(iv) Cabinet Member for the Environment and Transport

A written report had been circulated for information.

Oral questions were put as follows:

Name	Subject	Comment
Councillor White	Asked of it was correct that	The Cabinet Member
	the provision of dog bins	advised that the provision
	was to cease.	of dog bags was to cease.

(v) Cabinet Member for Fire and Community Safety

A written report had been circulated for information.

An oral question was put as follows:

Name Councillor Churchman	Subject Asked for assurance that consultants would not be used for the comprehensive residents parking review.	Comment The Cabinet Member advised that was not the intention at the moment.
Councillor Downer	Asked about kerbside refuse collection in relation to the elderly.	The Cabinet Member advised that he understood that a kerbside collection was not possible for some people and they would be able to put their rubbish by the back door.
Councillor Humby	Asked if the loss of car parking revenue would be charged to those who asked for road closure order for carnivals and regattas.	The Cabinet Member would provide a written response.

(vi) <u>Cabinet Member for Major Projects, Customer Service and Communications</u>

A written report had been circulated for information.

(vii) Cabinet Member for Resources

A written report had been circulated for information.

(viii) Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee

A written report had been circulated for information.

CHAIRMAN