## APPENDIX E

## ASSESSMENT OF TREES FOR POSSIBLE NEW TPO

| Site address (see attached plan) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| THE CHRISTMAS TREE FIELD aka NINE ACRES, FRESHWATER |  |  |  |
| Current landowner (if known) |  |  |  |
| MESSERS BOND |  |  |  |
| Present land use |  |  |  |
| Possible threat to tree/s |  |  |  |
| CLEARANCE WORKIFUTURE DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS |  |  |  |
| Person requesting TPO |  |  |  |
| REVIEW OF TPO/2005/35 | Date | 27 FEB 2006 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Tree/s already protected? | YES |  |  |
| Trees identified for removal as part of planning consent? <br> Attach decision notice and plan. | NO |  |  |

## New TPO title.

## THE CHRISTMAS TREE FIELD aka NINE ACRES, FRESHWATER

| New TPO <br> reference <br> number. | TPO | 2006 | 8Process <br> new TPO <br> as: | ROUTINE | PRIORITY | URGENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reasons for making new TPO. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The grounds for making the TPO are that the trees make a contribution to the visual amenity of the site and landscape. The trees are visible from Collards Close and Golden Ridge, Freshwater and public footpaths F16 and F65 and bridleway F15. The trees also provide a valuable habitat for wildlife, including red squirrels. The trees are at risk from felling operations and future development proposals.

| Assessment carried out by | C James/J Willis | Date | 27 Feb 2006 and <br> 8 March 2006 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| TREEI GROUPI WOOD NUMBER | SPECIES |  | SITUATION | CONDITION OF TREE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME |  |  |
| T1 | Oak | Quercus sp. | North eastern corner of the site |  |
| T2 | Oak | Quercus sp. | North eastern corner of the site |  |
| T3 | Oak | Quercus sp. | North eastern corner of the site |  |
| T4 | Oak | Quercus sp. | Western edge of woodland |  |
| T5 | Oak | Quercus sp. | Western edge of woodland |  |
| T6 | Oak | Quercus sp. | Eastern edge of site |  |
| G1 | $16 \times$ Oaks | Quercus Sp. | Northern edge of site |  |
| G2 | $11 \times$ Oaks | Quercus Sp. | Northern part of site |  |
| G3 | $10 \times$ Oaks | Quercus Sp. | Northern part of site |  |
| G4 | $5 \times$ Oaks | Quercus Sp. | Northern part of site |  |
| W1 | All trees of whatever species including understorey and regeneration |  | The Christmas Tree Field aka Nine Acres |  |

TREES NOT WORTHY OF PROTECTION.

| TREE |
| :---: | :---: |
| LETTER |$\quad$ REASON

## NEW TPO ASSESSMENT FORM INDIVIDUAL TREES

| Is the tree not visible from a public place? | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Is the tree dead, dying or dangerous? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree isolated/remote/hidden? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree not under threat? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree causing or likely to cause unreasonable interference to a property? | YES | NO |
| Is there no space for reasonable growth? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree replaceable (young/small)? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree managed under a Forestry Commission grant scheme? | YES | NO |

If the answer to any of the above questions is 'YES', TPO indefensible: no further action.

## AMENITY ASSESSMENT

| SIZE | SCORE | TREE NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 |
| Very small $2-5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small 5-10m ${ }^{3}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small $10-25 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Medium 25-50m ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |
| Medium 50-100m ${ }^{3}$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large 100-200m ${ }^{3}$ | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Large $200 \mathrm{~m}^{3}+$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REMAINING LONGEVITY | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 years | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-20 years | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-40 years | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-100 years | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 100 years + | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| PROMINENCE | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hidden | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 'Out front' | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 4 |


| AUDIENCE FREQUENCY | SCORE | TREE NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 |
| Remote | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occasional | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infrequent | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Frequent | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuous | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TREE FORM | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Moderate | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Good | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Outstanding | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER TREES | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within wood (70-100\% other trees) | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Many (50-70\% other trees) | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some (30-50\% other trees) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Few (5-30\% other trees) | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alone (0-5\% other trees) | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER FACTORS | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Screening unpleasant view | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Veteran Tree | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical Association | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ecological Value | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Soil Stabiliser | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPEDIENCY | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No known threat | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perceived threat | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Known threat | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Immediate threat | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALScore of $\mathbf{2 0}$ or more, qualifies for TPO |  | 26 | 24 | 26 | 21 | 21 | 22 |

## NEW TPO ASSESSMENT FORM GROUPSIAREAS/WOODLANDS

| Is the tree not visible from a public place? | YES | NO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Is the tree dead, dying or dangerous? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree isolated/remote/hidden? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree not under threat? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree causing or likely to cause unreasonable interference to a property? | YES | NO |
| Is there no space for reasonable growth? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree replaceable (young/small)? | YES | NO |
| Is the tree managed under a Forestry Commission grant scheme? | YES | NO |

If the answer to any of the above questions is 'YES', TPO indefensible: no further action.

AMENITY ASSESSMENT

| REMAINING LONGEVITY | SCORE | TREE NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | W1 |  |
| Less than 10 years | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-20 years | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-40 years | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-100 years | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| 100 years + | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| PROMINENCE | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hidden | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted | 2 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 'Out front' | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| AUDIENCE FREQUENCY | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remote | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occasional | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infrequent | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Frequent | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuous | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TREE FORM | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Good | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| OTHER TREES | SCORE | TREE NUMBER |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | G1 | G2 | G3 | G4 | W1 |  |
| Within wood (70-100\% other <br> trees) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Many (50-70\% other trees) | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some (30-50\% other trees) | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Few (5-30\% other trees) | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alone (0-5\% other trees) | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER FACTORS | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Screening unpleasant view | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Veteran Tree | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Historical Association | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ecological Value | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Soil Stabiliser | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPEDIENCY | SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No known threat | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perceived threat | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Known threat | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Immediate threat | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score of 16 or more, qualifies for Group or Area TPO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Score of 12 or more, qualifies for Woodland TPO | 18 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 |  |  |

